# Gröbner Basis Cryptosystems

Martin Kreuzer **Fachbereich Mathematik** Universität Dortmund martin.kreuzer@uni-dortmund.de (joint work with Peter Ackermann, now AMB/Aachen) **Special Semester on Gröbner Bases** Workshop D1: Gröbner Bases in Cryptography, Coding Theory, and Algebraic Combinatorics 3. Mai 2006

# Outline of the Talk

- 1. Gröbner Bases for Modules over Free Monoid Rings
- 2. Gröbner Bases for Modules over Monoid Rings
- 3. Polly Cracker Cryptosystems
- 4. Gröbner Basis Cryptosystems
- 5. Examples of Gröbner Basis Cryptosystems
- 6. Efficiency and Security Considerations
- 7. Further Suggestions

## 1 – GB for Modules over Free Monoid Rings

#### Let's fix the notation!

- $\Sigma = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  finite alphabet
- $\Sigma^*$  monoid of words (or terms)

K field

 $K[\Sigma^*]$  free monoid ring (= free associative algebra, non-commutative polynomial ring)

 $\sigma$  term ordering on  $\Sigma^*$ , i.e. a total well-ordering such that  $w_1 \leq_{\sigma} w_2$ implies  $w_3 w_1 w_4 \leq_{\sigma} w_3 w_2 w_4$  for all  $w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 \in \Sigma^*$ 

Every non-commutative polynomial  $f \in K[\Sigma^*]$  has a unique representation  $f = c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_s w_s$  such that  $c_i \in K \setminus \{0\}$  and  $w_1 >_{\sigma} \cdots >_{\sigma} w_s$  in  $\Sigma^*$ .  $LT_{\sigma}(f) = w_1$  leading term of f $LC_{\sigma}(f) = c_1$  leading coefficient of fGiven a right ideal  $I \subseteq K[\Sigma^*]$ , we let  $LT_{\sigma}(I) = \langle LT_{\sigma}(f) \mid f \in I \setminus \{0\} \rangle_{\rho}$  be its right leading term ideal. A set  $\{f_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$  is called a (right) Gröbner basis of I if  $LT_{\sigma}(I) = \langle LT_{\sigma}(f_i) \mid i \in \Lambda \rangle_{\rho}.$ 

**Theorem 1.1 (Macaulay's Basis Theorem)** The residue classes of the terms in

$$\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}(I) = \Sigma^* \setminus \mathrm{LT}_{\sigma}(I)$$

form a K-basis of  $K[\Sigma^*]/I$ .

For every  $f \in K[\Sigma^*]$ , there exists a unique normal form  $NF_{\sigma,I}(f) \in \langle \mathcal{O}_{\sigma}(I) \rangle_K$  such that  $f - NF_{\sigma,I}(f) \in I$ .

The normal form can be computed by using the term rewriting system  $\xrightarrow{G}$  defined by a  $\sigma$ -Gröbner basis G of I.

A  $\sigma$ -Gröbner basis of I can be enumerated using the Buchberger procedure (Knuth-Bendix completion).

### And What About Modules?

Everything generalizes easily to right submodules of free right modules over  $K[\Sigma^*]$ .

 $F_{\varrho} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} e_i K[\Sigma^*]$  free right  $K[\Sigma^*]$ -module with basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$ 

A term in  $F_{\varrho}$  is of the form  $e_i t$  with  $t \in \Sigma^*$ .

 $\mathbb{T}(F_{\varrho})$  is the set of all terms in  $F_{\varrho}$ .

A module term ordering on  $\mathbb{T}(F_{\varrho})$  is a total well-ordering  $\tau$  such that  $t_1 \leq_{\tau} t_2$  implies  $t_1 w \leq_{\tau} t_2 w$  for all  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{T}(F_{\varrho})$  and  $w \in \Sigma^*$ .

For every vector  $v \in F_{\varrho}$  we define its leading term  $LT_{\tau}(v)$  and its leading coefficient  $LC_{\tau}(v)$  in the obvious way.

Given a right submodule  $U \subseteq F_{\rho}$ , we let

 $LT_{\tau}(U) = \langle LT_{\tau}(v) | v \in U \setminus \{0\} \rangle_{\varrho}$  be its (right) leading term module.

A set of non-zero vectors  $\{v_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$  is called a (right)  $\tau$ -Gröbner basis of U if  $LT_{\tau}(U) = \langle LT_{\tau}(v_i) \mid i \in \Lambda \rangle_{\varrho}$ .

**Theorem 1.2 (Macaulay Basis Theorem for Modules)** The residue classes of the terms in  $\mathcal{O}_{\tau}(U) = \mathbb{T}(F_{\varrho}) \setminus \mathrm{LT}_{\tau}(U)$  form a *K*-basis of the module  $F_{\varrho}/U$ .

Also for modules we can compute normal forms of vectors and have a Buchberger procedure to enumerate a Gröbner basis.

#### 2 – GB for Modules over Monoid Rings

 $M = \Sigma^* / \sim_W$  finitely presented monoid, i.e.  $\sim_W$  is the equivalence relation generated by finitely many relations  $w_i \sim w'_i$  with  $w_i, w'_i \in \Sigma^*$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ .

 $K[M] = K[\Sigma^*]/I_M$  monoid ring over M where  $I_M$  is the two-sided ideal  $I_M = \langle w_1 - w'_1, \dots, w_r - w'_r \rangle$ .

**Assumption:** There is a term ordering  $\sigma$  such that  $w_i >_{\sigma} w'_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, r$  and such that the term rewriting system  $\xrightarrow{W}$  is convergent (i.e. Noetherian/terminating and confluent).

So,  $W = \{w_1 - w'_1, \dots, w_r - w'_r\}$  is a two-sided Gröbner basis of  $I_M$ . Then every  $f \in K[\Sigma^*]$  can be effectively reduced via  $\xrightarrow{W}$  to a unique normal form  $NF_{I_M}(f)$ .

- $\Phi$  finite or countable infinite set
- $\overline{F}_{\varrho}$  free right K[M]-module with basis  $\{\overline{e}_i \mid i \in \Phi\}$
- $\overline{U} \subseteq \overline{F}_{\varrho}$  finitely generated right submodule
- $\tau$  module term ordering on  $\mathbb{T}(F_{\varrho})$  that is compatible with  $\sigma$  (i.e.  $w_1 <_{\sigma} w_2$  implies  $e_i w_1 <_{\tau} e_i w_2$ )

By representing every element of M using the normal form of the corresponding word in  $\Sigma^*$ , we can view  $\tau$  as an ordering on

$$\mathbb{T}(\overline{F}_{\varrho}) = \{ \overline{e}_i m \mid i \in \Phi, \ m \in M \}$$

**Problem:**  $\bar{e}_i w_1 \leq_{\tau} \bar{e}_i w_2$  does (in general) not imply  $\bar{e}_1 m_1 m_3 \leq_{\tau} \bar{e}_i m_2 m_3$  for  $m_1, m_2, m_3 \in M$  because reductions via  $\xrightarrow{W}$ may destroy the inequality for the representing words. **Definition 2.1**  $v, w \in \overline{F}_{\varrho} \setminus \{0\}$ 

If there exist a term  $\bar{e}_i m_1 \in \text{Supp}(w)$  and  $m_2 \in M$  such that  $\text{LT}_{\tau}(v) \circ m_2 \equiv \bar{e}_i m_1$ , we say that v prefix reduces w to  $w' = w - \text{LC}_{\tau}(v)^{-1} v m_2$ . We write  $w \xrightarrow{v}_{\pi} w'$ .

Here  $\circ$  denotes the concatenation of the representing words and  $\equiv$  is the identity for words.

In this situation we have  $LT_{\tau}(vm_2) = LT_{\tau}(v) \circ m_2$  a fortiori.

 $S \subseteq \overline{F}_{\varrho}$  is called prefix saturated if  $vm \xrightarrow{S}_{\pi} 0$  in one step for all  $v \in S$  and  $m \in M$ .

If S is prefix saturated then  $v \stackrel{S}{\longleftrightarrow}_{\pi} 0$  for all  $\langle S \rangle_{\varrho}$ .

There exists a procedure for enumerating the prefix saturation of a set  $S = \{v\}$ .

**Definition 2.2** A set G in a right submodule  $\overline{U} \subseteq \overline{F}_{\varrho}$  is called a prefix Gröbner basis of  $\overline{U}$  if we have  $u \stackrel{G}{\longleftrightarrow}_{\pi} 0$  for all  $u \in \overline{U}$  and if  $\stackrel{G}{\longrightarrow}$  is confluent.

One can formulate a Buchberger criterion for prefix Gröbner bases and a Buchberger procedure for enumerating a prefix Gröbner basis of a given right submodule of  $\overline{F}_{\varrho}$ .

#### **Applications:**

- submodule membership can be solved effectively
- the subgroup membership problem is equivalent to a right ideal membership problem in K[M]
- the conjugator search problem can be solved using a two-sided syzygy computation

### 3 – Polly Cracker Cryptosystems

In 1994, Fellows and Koblitz suggested the following cryptosystem.  $P = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  commutative polynomial ring  $f_1, \ldots, f_s \in P$  polynomials having a common zero  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in K^n$ Public:  $f_1, \ldots, f_s$ Secret:  $(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ Encryption: a plaintext unit  $m \in K$  is encrypted as  $w = m + f_1 g_1 + \dots + f_s g_s$  with  $g_i \in P$  suitably chosen **Decryption:** evaluation yields  $w(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = m$ Security: The attacker can break the cryptosystem if he can compute a Gröbner basis of  $I = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_s \rangle$  because  $m = NF_{\sigma,I}(w)$ .

Ideals can be constructed which encode hard combinatorial problems so that it is believed to be difficult to compute their Gröbner bases.

### Polly Cracker Is Under Attack!

- 1. Basic Linear Algebra Attack: The attacker knows  $w = m + f_1g_1 + \dots + f_sg_s$ . Consider the coefficients of  $g_1, \dots, g_s$ as unknowns. All coefficients of the non-constant terms in  $f_1g_1 + \dots + f_sg_s$  are known. Thus we get a system of linear equations.
- 2. "Intelligent" Linear Algebra Attack: One may guess the terms t occurring in  $\text{Supp}(g_i)$  because some of the terms in  $t \cdot \text{Supp}(f_j)$  should occur in Supp(w) if there is not too much cancellation.

- 3. Differential Attack: Quotients of terms in Supp(w) allow conclusions about possible terms in  $\text{Supp}(g_i)$ .
- 4. Attack by Characteristic Terms: If there are terms which occur in just one  $f_i$  we can recognize multiples of these terms in w and compute the corresponding terms in  $g_i$ .
- 5. Attack by Truncated GB: In order to compute  $NF_{\sigma,I}(w)$ , it may be sufficient to find a partial Gröbner basis of I.

A more refined version of the cryptosystem suggested by L. Ly and called Polly 2 has been broken recently by R. Steinwandt using a side channel attack.

#### 4 – Gröbner Basis Cryptosystems

 $M = \Sigma^* / \sim_W$  finitely presented monoid

 $\overline{F}_{\varrho} = \bigoplus_{i \in \Phi} \overline{e}_i K[M]$  free right module over the monoid ring

 $\sigma, \tau$  compatible term orderings

 $\overline{U} \subseteq \overline{F}_{\varrho}$  right submodule

Public:  $\mathcal{O}_{\tau}(\overline{U}) = \mathbb{T}(\overline{F}_{\varrho}) \setminus LT_{\tau}(\overline{U})$  (or a subset thereof) and finitely many vectors  $u_1, \ldots, u_s \in \overline{U}$ 

Secret: a prefix Gröbner basis G of  $\overline{U}$ 

Encryption: a plaintext unit is of the form  $m = \overline{e}_{\lambda_1} c_1 w_1 + \dots + \overline{e}_{\lambda_r} c_r w_r \in \langle \mathcal{O}_{\tau}(\overline{U}) \rangle_K$  with  $\lambda_i \in \Phi, c_i \in K$ , and  $w_i \in M$ . The plaintext unit m is encrypted as  $w = m + \bar{u}_1 f_1 + \cdots + \bar{u}_s f_s$  with suitably chosen  $f_i \in K[M]$ .

Decryption: Using  $\xrightarrow{G}$ , compute  $m = NF_{\sigma,\overline{U}}(w)$ .

Security: • The attacker can break the cryptosystem if he can compute a Gröbner basis of  $\langle \bar{u}_1, \ldots, \bar{u}_s \rangle_{\varrho}$ .

• The advantage of using modules is that the action of M on the set  $\{\bar{e}_i \mid i \in \Phi\}$  can encode hard combinatorial or number theoretic problems.

• The free module  $\overline{F}_{\varrho}$  is not required to be finitely generated. Any concrete calculation will involve only finitely many components.

#### 5 – Examples of Gröbner Basis Cryptosystems

Example 5.1 (Polly Cracker Cryptosystems) If we use the monoid  $M = \mathbb{N}^n$ , the free module  $\overline{F}_{\varrho} = K[M] = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , and the submodule  $\overline{U} = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ , we obtain the original Polly Cracker Cryptosystem.

The set  $\mathcal{O}_{\tau}(\overline{U})$  is equal to  $\{1\}$ . Thus a plaintext unit is just an element of K.

The secret Gröbner basis is  $\{x_1 - a_1, \ldots, x_n - a_n\}$ .

The decryption yields the same result because  $NF_{\tau,\overline{U}}(w) = w(a_1,\ldots,a_n).$ 

**Example 5.2**  $K = \mathbb{F}_2$  and  $M = \mathbb{N}^2$  yields  $K[M] = \mathbb{F}_2[x, y]$  $p, q \gg 0$  distinct prime numbers, n = pq, and  $\Pi = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  $\overline{F}_{\varrho} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} e_i K[x, y]$  and  $\tau = \mathsf{DegRevLexPos}$ Choose  $\varepsilon \in (\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)(q-1)\mathbb{Z})^*$  and compute  $d = \varepsilon^{-1}$ .

Public:  $\overline{F}_{\varrho}$  (and thus n),  $\mathcal{O}_{\tau}(\overline{U}) = \{e_0, \ldots, e_{n-1}\}$ , the number  $\varepsilon$ , and the vectors

$$\{u_1, \dots, u_s\} = \{\bar{e}_i x - e_{i^{\varepsilon} \mod n}, e_i x y - e_i \mid i = 0, \dots, n-1\}$$

Secret: The secret key consists of the primes p, q and the number d. Equivalently, it is the  $\tau$ -Gröbner basis

$$G = \{u_1, \dots, u_s\} \cup \{e_i y - e_{i^d \mod n} \mid i = 0, \dots, n-1\} \quad \text{of} \quad \overline{U} = \langle G \rangle$$

Encryption: A plaintext unit is a vector  $e_m \in \mathcal{O}_{\tau}(\overline{U})$ . To encrypt it, we form

$$w = e_m + (e_m xy - e_m) - (e_m x - e_{m^{\varepsilon} \mod n})y = e_{m^{\varepsilon} \mod n}y$$

Decryption: Compute  $NF_{\tau,\overline{U}}(w) = e_{m^{\varepsilon d} \mod n} = e_m$ .

Security: The attacker can compute the Gröbner basis if and only if he can factor n = pq and find d.

This is nothing but the GB version of the **RSA cryptosystem**!

**Example 5.3**  $K = \mathbb{F}_2, M = \mathbb{N}$ , and  $K[M] = \mathbb{F}_2[x]$   $p \gg 0$  prime number, g generator of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$   $\overline{F}_{\varrho} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-1} \varepsilon_i K[x] \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{p-1} e_j K[x]$  and  $\tau = \text{DegPos with } \varepsilon_i > e_j$ Choose a number  $a \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$  and compute  $b = g^a \mod p$ .

Public:  $\overline{F}_{\varrho}$  (and thus p),  $\mathcal{O}_{\tau}(\overline{U}) = \{e_1, \ldots, e_{p-1}\}$ , the number b, and the vectors

$$\{u_1, \dots, u_s\} = \{\varepsilon_1 - e_1\} \cup \{\varepsilon_i x - \varepsilon_{gi}, e_j x - e_{bj} \mid i, j = 1, \dots, p-1\}$$

where all indices are computed modulo p.

Secret: The number a, or equivalently the  $\tau$ -Gröbner basis

$$G = \{u_1, \dots, u_s\} \cup \{\varepsilon_i - e_{i^a} \mid i = 1, \dots, p-1\} \quad \text{of} \quad \overline{U} = \langle G \rangle$$

Encryption: A plaintext unit is of the form  $e_1 + e_m$  with  $m \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ . Use the following variant of the GB cryptosystem: choose a random number k, form  $(e_1 + e_m)x^k$ , and send  $w = \varepsilon_{g^k} + e_{mb^k} \in (\varepsilon_1 + e_m)x^k + \langle u_1, \ldots, u_s \rangle_{\varrho}$ . Decryption: First compute  $NF_{\tau,\overline{U}} = e_{b^k} + e_{mb^k}$ . Since  $e_{b^k} + e_{mb^k} \xleftarrow{G} (e_1 + e_m)x^k$ , we have to "divide" this vector by  $x^k$ . To this end, it suffices to compute  $m = (mb^k)/b^k$  and to form  $e_m$ . Security: This cryptosystem can be broken if the attacker is able to compute the discrete logarithm a of  $b = g^a$  or k of  $g^k$ . In the GB

version, the reduction  $\varepsilon_{g^k} \xrightarrow{u_i} \cdots \xrightarrow{u_j} x^k \varepsilon_1 \xrightarrow{u_1} x^k e_1$  would take  $k \gg 0$ steps. If one knows a, one can get rid of  $\varepsilon_{g^k}$  by using just one reduction step  $\varepsilon_{q^k} \longrightarrow e_{q^{ka}} = e_{b^k}$ .

This is nothing but the GB version of the **ElGamal** cryptosystem!

## **Further Examples of GB Cryptosystems**

- Le van Ly's cryptosystem Polly 2 is a variant using commutative polynomials
- Tapan Rai's cryptosystem uses two-sided Gröbner bases of ideals in  $K[\Sigma^*]$ , but is otherwise identical.
- Also the braid group based cryptosystems of Ko-Lee *et al.* and of Anshel-Anshel-Goldfeld can be viewed as Gröbner basis cryptosystems, where the group elements act on the standard basis vectors by conjugation on the index.

## 6 – Efficiency and Security Considerations

**Efficiency.** One difficulty in constructing an efficient example of a GB cryptosystem is the possibility of exponential support growth during the normal form computation. Possible countermeasures include:

• many generators are binomials

• determine individual coefficients of the normal form by applying suitable linear functionals

Linear Algebra Attacks. The various types of linear algebra attacks can be rendered infeasible in the following ways:

• use a module of very large rank

• use a large set  $\mathcal{O}_{\tau}(\overline{U})$  to make the ciphertext statistically similar to the plaintext

• over a (not too big) group ring many products  $(e_i t)t'$  will give the same term; the corresponding coefficients cannot be recovered

• in a group ring every term is a multiple of any other term

Chosen Ciphertext Attacks. In the proposed system the receiver cannot detect invalid cyphertexts. Moreover, the decryption is K-linear. Using a hash function we can overcome this problem:

- append suitable random values to the message ("message padding")
- compute a hash value of the padded message
- transmit the cyphertext of the message, the ciphertext of the padding, and the hash value

# 7 – Further Suggestions

#### Increasing the Security.

• The Gröbner basis of the module  $\langle u_1, \ldots, u_s \rangle_{\varrho}$  generated by the public vectors need not be finite. A truncated GB computation should yield no "simple" elements in the module.

• If we work with two-sided ideals and modules, the linear algebra attack will yield a system of quadratic equations for the unknown coefficients.

• We should try to give a security certificate: if you can solve this instance, then you can also solve the following (supposedly difficult) computational problem ...

#### **Generating New Hard Instances.**

• Find monoid or group rings having ideals whose Gröbner bases are difficult to compute.

• Encode a hard instance of an action of a group on a set by letting the group act on the standard basis vectors of a free module

• Use ideals or submodules for which  $\mathcal{O}_{\tau}(\overline{U})$  is "large enough" to allow the encryption of sizable plaintext units. This decreases the message expansion ratio.

• Manufacture the encryption procedure such that the likelihood of cancellations in the computation of  $w = m + u_1 f_1 + \cdots + u_s f_s$  is maximized. Use finite groups of "medium size".

# References

- 1. P. Ackermann and M. Kreuzer, Gröbner basis cryptosystems, AAECC (2006), available "online first"
- Boo Barkee et al., Why you cannot even hope to use Gröbner bases in public key cryptography: an open letter to a scientist who failed and a challenge to those who have not yet failed, J. Symb. Comput. 18 (1994), 497–501
- 3. M. Fellows and N. Koblitz, Combinatorial cryptosystems galore!, Contemp. Math. 168 (1994), 51–61
- 4. L. Ly, Polly two a new algebraic polynomial-based public-key scheme, AAECC (2006), to appear

- 5. K. Madlener and B. Reinert, String rewriting and Gröbner bases

  a general approach to monoid and group rings, in: Progress
  Comp. Sci. Appl. Logic 15, Birkäuser 1998, 127–150
- 6. T. Mora, Gröbner bases in non-commutative algebras, in: Lect. Notes Comp. Sci. 358, Springer 1989, 150–161

Thank You for Your Attention!